

10 OCT 2022

Fitch Upgrades Macquarie Group to 'A' and Affirms Macquarie Bank at 'A'; Outlook Stable

Fitch Ratings - Sydney - 10 Oct 2022: Fitch Ratings has upgraded the Long-Term Issuer Default Ratings (IDR) of Macquarie Group Limited (MGL) and subsidiary Macquarie Financial Holdings Pty. Limited (MFHL) to 'A' from 'A-'. Fitch has also affirmed the Long-Term IDRs of Macquarie Bank Limited (MBL) and Macquarie International Finance Limited (MIFL), a subsidiary of MBL, at 'A'. The Outlook on the entities' Long-Term IDRs is Stable.

A full list of rating actions is below.

Key Rating Drivers

Low Double Leverage: MGL is the group's non-operating holding company, and the same factors that apply to MBL underpin its IDRs, Viability Rating (VR) and senior debt rating, although the starting point is the group's consolidated risk profile. Fitch aligns MGL's ratings with the consolidated group assessment to reflect moderate common equity double leverage (group operates to a 110% limit) and sound liquidity management.

Consolidated Group Ratings Upgrade: The consolidated group and MGL's VRs have been upgraded to 'a' from 'a-', after Fitch revised the group operating environment (OE) score to 'aa-' from 'a+'. The OE reassessment has also supported revisions in the business profile, earnings and profitability, and funding and liquidity scores to 'a' from 'a-'.

Robust Risk Control Framework: MBL is the group's main operating subsidiary. The bank's IDRs, VR and senior debt ratings are underpinned by robust risk controls and a high-quality management team, which has driven a strong financial profile over a number of years. Partly offsetting this is MBL's significant level of non-traditional banking operations relative to domestic bank peers, as well as a modest Australian loan-market share and higher reliance on wholesale funding compared with some international peers.

Internationally Diversified Operations: Fitch takes a blended approach when assigning OE scores for MGL and MBL, given the scope of international operations. We revised MGL's score to 'aa-', reflecting the heavy weighting of its assets in jurisdictions where Fitch has a score in the 'aa' range. The same applies for MBL; however, the 'aa-' factor score also reflects the higher exposure to Australia due to mortgage lending. We factor in Australia's high household leverage to reflect households' susceptibility to sharp interest-rate hikes, resulting in a score at the lower end of the 'aa' category.

Business Model Stability Improved: Fitch has revised the consolidated group business profile score

to 'a', broadly consistent with the implied score under our Bank Rating Criteria following the revision of the OE score to 'aa-'. We believe the non-banks' business model and earnings stability have improved and are likely to continue improving, supported largely by the Macquarie Asset Management (MAM) business. The business profile score of MBL, which does not encompass MAM, remains at 'a-' and reflects modest loan and deposit market share relative to Australia's major banks.

Some Moderation in Earnings Expected: We believe the earnings and profitability metrics will remain commensurate with the factor scores of 'a' for MBL and MGL over the next two years. Fitch has revised MGL's earnings and profitability score to 'a' from 'a-' following the revision in the OE score to the 'aa' range. This supports a higher implied score as the starting point for Fitch's assessment.

The group's earnings and profitability were resilient through the Covid-19 pandemic, supported by loan and asset growth, combined with strong earnings uplift from market-facing activities, particularly in the commodities and global markets business. We expect earnings to moderate in the financial year ending March 2023 (FY23), but volatile markets could provide further growth. We view MGL's and MBL's earnings as more diverse than more retail-orientated Australian banks but also subject to more volatility in some of its market-facing businesses.

Appropriate Capital Buffers: We expect capital ratios to remain robust and continue to support the 'a' factor score for both MGL and MBL. MBL's common equity Tier 1 (CET1) ratio was 11.5% at FYE22 (FYE21: 12.6%) on strong loan growth but consistent with our expectations. MGL's capital surplus to regulatory requirements was AUD11 billion (or about 47% of the regulatory requirement). MGL does not report a CET1 ratio, so we have placed greater weighting on Fitch's other capitalisation metrics, such as tangible common equity/tangible assets.

Sound Liquidity Management: Fitch expects pressure on funding and liquidity to be limited for the group over the next 12 months, due to the liquidity and deposit flows in the system which are still high. The group's strong liquidity management and sound liquidity ratios help to offset some of the risks on its greater reliance on wholesale funding than international peers, and support our factor score of 'a' for MBL and MGL, above the implied 'bbb(cat)'. MBL's average liquidity coverage ratio was 221% in 2Q22, and the net stable funding ratio was 125% at end-March 2022.

GSR: MGL's Government Support Rating (GSR) of 'ns' reflects our view that there is no reasonable assumption of forthcoming support from the Australian authorities. We believe that if support were provided to the group it would most likely be through the regulated bank, MBL.

MBL's GSR of 'bbb-' reflects our view that there is a high probability of support for the banking operations. This rating is higher than those for domestic non-major bank peers and is due to MBL's growing domestic systemic significance, with its increased market shares as Australia's fifth-largest bank by total assets. The bank is also a significant player in domestic financial markets, and the only non-major bank that is subject to the Australian government's bank levy.

Senior Debt Ratings: MGL's senior debt rating is equalised with the consolidated group VR as we expect a large buffer to be available at resolution (the sum of the holding company's senior debt and the group's junior debt buffer exceeding 10% of RWA). Moreover, MGL has highly diversified and

reasonably significant subsidiaries. MBL's senior debt ratings are aligned with MBL's IDRs as Australia does not have a sophisticated resolution framework or full depositor protection.

Short-Term Ratings: The 'F1' Short-Term IDRs of MGL and MBL are the lower of the two options available at a VR-driven Long-Term IDR of 'A' because the 'a' funding & liquidity scores of both MGL and MBL are lower than the minimum 'aa-' score to achieve the higher option of 'F1+'.

Rating Sensitivities

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/ downgrade:

MGL: MGL's ratings may be downgraded if the common equity double leverage increases to above 120% for a sustained period, although Fitch does not expect this to occur.

MGL's VR and Long-Term IDR are also broadly sensitive to the same factors as those of MBL, as a downgrade in MBL's rating is likely to result in an overall weaker consolidated group rating to which MGL is aligned.

MGL's senior debt rating is sensitive to the consolidated group VR and if we expect the buffer available at resolution (the sum of the holding company's senior debt and the group's junior debt buffer) to not exceed 10% of RWA.

A downgrade of MGL's Short-Term IDR to 'F2' would require the Long-Term IDR to be downgraded by at least two notches to 'BBB+' or by one notch to 'A-' combined with the funding and liquidity score also being lowered to at least 'a-'.

MBL: MBL's VR and Long-Term IDR could be downgraded if a combination of the following were to occur:

- Four-year average stage 3 loans/gross loans increases above 2.0% for a sustained period (FY18-FY22: 1.3%);
- Four-year average operating profit/risk-weighted asset (RWA) ratio declines below 1.5% for a sustained period (FY18-FY22: 2.1%);
- the CET1 ratio falls below 10% without a credible plan to raise it back above this level.

A deterioration or findings of significant deficiencies in MBL's risk-management framework and liquidity management could also put pressure on ratings, as it would most likely result in a lower risk profile score, which may negatively affect our assessment of some of the financial profile factors.

The senior debt ratings are sensitive to the same factors as MBL's IDRs.

A downgrade of MBL's Short-Term IDR would require the Long-Term IDR to be downgraded by at least one notch and the funding and liquidity score to be lowered to at least 'a-'.

GSR: MGL's GSR is already at the lowest level assigned by Fitch.

MBL's GSR could be downgraded if there is a negative change in our assumptions around the propensity or ability of Australian authorities to provide timely support. Neither appears probable over the next two years. Negative rating action will not directly affect MBL's IDRs, which are driven by its VR.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

IDRS, VRS and SENIOR DEBT

MGL: MGL's ratings are also broadly sensitive to similar factors as MBL as an upgrade MBL's ratings could result in a higher consolidated group rating to which MGL is aligned.

MGL's Short-Term IDR could be upgraded to 'F1+' if the Long-Term IDR were upgraded to at least 'AA-' or the funding and liquidity score were upgraded to at least 'aa-', currently 'a'.

MBL: An upgrade of the ratings would most likely require an upward revision of the business profile score, possibly driven by further significant improvement in market position, in addition to a combination of the asset quality, earnings and profitability or capitalisation factor scores being revised upward. This would require the four-year average stage 3 loans/gross loans ratio be maintained below 1%, four-year average operating profit/RWA ratio be maintained above 2.5% or the CET1 ratio maintained above 12% for each of the respective factors (or equivalent under the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority's final Basel III framework).

MBL's Short-Term IDR could be upgraded to 'F1+' if the Long-Term IDR were upgraded to at least 'AA-' or the funding and liquidity score were upgraded to at least 'aa-', currently 'a'. This appears unlikely as it would require MBL's loan/customer deposit ratio to fall well below 100% (FYE22: 122%).

GSR: MGL's GSR would only be upgraded if the regulatory focus in Australia was to change from protection of depositors to a broader group focus - this appears improbable.

An upgrade of MBL's GSR would require a significant increase in its systemic importance, reflected in the bank's domestic market position. The GSR may also be upgraded if the Australian authorities provide additional, explicit statements of support for MBL, or otherwise provide greater certainty that support would be provided if needed.

OTHER DEBT AND ISSUER RATINGS: KEY RATING DRIVERS

Subordinated Debt: MBL's subordinated Tier 2 debt is rated two notches below its anchor rating - the VR - for loss severity, with non-performance risk adequately captured by the VR. The point of non-viability for these instruments is at the discretion of the regulator. None of the reasons for alternative notching from the anchor rating, as described in the criteria, is present.

OTHER DEBT AND ISSUER RATINGS: RATING SENSITIVITIES

Changes in MBL's VR would also be reflected in its Tier 2 debt rating.

SUBSIDIARIES & AFFILIATES: KEY RATING DRIVERS

Core and Integral Subsidiaries: MFHL's Long-Term IDR, which is driven by its Shareholder Support Rating (SSR), is equalised with that of its direct parent MGL. Following the rating action on MGL, MFHL's SSR has been upgraded to 'a' from 'a-' and reflects its status as a key and integral part of the group's business, undertaking core non-banking activities. In addition, if MFHL were to default, it would have a huge impact on the reputation of the parent and damage its franchise.

MIFL's Long-Term IDR, which is driven by its SSR, is equalised with that of its direct parent, MBL. MIFL's SSR reflects that it is a key and integral part of the banking group, which increases the propensity of MBL to extend support. If MIFL were to default, it would have a huge impact on the reputation and franchise of MBL and the wider group.

SUBSIDIARIES AND AFFILIATES: RATING SENSITIVITIES

Ratings Linked to Support Provider: Any change in the IDRs of MGL would be also be reflected in the IDRs of MFHL, assuming no change to Fitch's assumption around the ability or propensity of support.

Any change in the IDRs of MBL would be also be reflected in the IDRs of MIFL, assuming no change to Fitch's assumption around the ability or propensity of support.

VR ADJUSTMENTS

The funding and liquidity score of 'a' for MGL have been assigned above the 'bbb' category implied score for following adjustment reason: liquidity coverage (positive).

Best/Worst Case Rating Scenario

International scale credit ratings of Financial Institutions and Covered Bond issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of four notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579>

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

Public Ratings with Credit Linkage to other ratings

MFHL's IDRs and SSR are linked to the IDRs of MGL.

MIFL's IDRs and SSR are linked to the IDRs of MBL.

ESG Considerations

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of environmental, social and governance (ESG) credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg.

Fitch Ratings Analysts

Jack Do

Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+61 2 8256 0355

Fitch Australia Pty Ltd Suite 15.01, Level 15 135 King Street Sydney 2000

Tim Roche

Senior Director

Secondary Rating Analyst

+61 2 8256 0310

Heakyu Chang

Senior Director

Committee Chairperson

+822 3278 8363

Media Contacts

Peter Hoflich

Singapore

+65 6796 7229

peter.hoflich@thefitchgroup.com



Wai Lun Wan





Hong Kong



+852 2263 9935

wailun.wan@thefitchgroup.com

Rating Actions

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING	RECOVERY	PRIOR	
Macquarie Group Limited	LT IDR	A 	Upgrade	A- 

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING		RECOVERY	PRIOR
	ST IDR	F1	Upgrade	F2
	Viability	a	Upgrade	a-
	Government Support	ns	Affirmed	ns
• senior unsecured	LT	A	Upgrade	A-
• senior unsecured	LT	A	Upgrade	A-
• senior unsecured	ST	F1	Upgrade	F2
Macquarie Financial Holdings Pty Limited	LT IDR	A 	Upgrade	A- 
	ST IDR	F1	Upgrade	F2
	Shareholder Support	a	Upgrade	a-
Macquarie Bank Limited	LT IDR	A 	Affirmed	A 
	ST IDR	F1	Affirmed	F1
	Viability	a	Affirmed	a
	Government	bbb-	Affirmed	bbb-

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING		RECOVERY	PRIOR
Support				
• senior unsecured	LT A		Affirmed	A
• senior unsecured	LT A		Affirmed	A
• subordinated	BBB+		Affirmed	BBB+
• senior unsecured	ST F1		Affirmed	F1
• senior unsecured	ST F1		Affirmed	F1
Macquarie International Finance Limited	LT IDR	A 	Affirmed	A 
	ST IDR	F1	Affirmed	F1
	Shareholder Support	a	Affirmed	a

RATINGS KEY OUTLOOK WATCH

POSITIVE		
NEGATIVE		
EVOLVING		

RATINGS KEY OUTLOOK WATCH

STABLE



Applicable Criteria

[Bank Rating Criteria \(pub.07 Sep 2022\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

[Non-Bank Financial Institutions Rating Criteria \(pub.31 Jan 2022\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

Additional Disclosures

[Solicitation Status](#)

Endorsement Status

Macquarie Financial Holdings Pty Limited EU Endorsed, UK Endorsed

Macquarie Group Limited EU Endorsed, UK Endorsed

Macquarie International Finance Limited EU Endorsed, UK Endorsed

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link: <https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>. In addition, the following <https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document> details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third

parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy,

sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001.

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

Copyright © 2022 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

Endorsement policy

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's [Regulatory Affairs](#) page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.